



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,739	10/22/2001	Gil Mueller	450117-03591	5444
20999	7590 12/23/2005	EXAMINER		
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.			TAYLOR, NICHOLAS R	
	, NY 10151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2141	

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/016,739	MUELLER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Nicholas R. Taylor	2141			
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Oc	ctober 2005.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 3-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 22 October 2001 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2141

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 and 3-15 have been presented for examination and are rejected.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 10/13/2005 with respect to claims 1 and 3-15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the use of numerical references to the claims, e.g. the references to claims 1 and 13 on page 4, lines 10-13. Due to the cancellation of claim 2 (and possible future changes), if the application is placed in condition for allowance the resulting claim renumbering will no longer correspond to the specification. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 9 is objected to because of a minor grammar informality: "refuses to participate said negotiating process". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 6. Claims 1, 3, 7-10, and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chebrolu (US Patent 6,754,714).
- 7. As per claim 1, Chebrolu teaches a centralized method for resolving resource conflicts occurring when shareable resources which are at least partially allocated by at least one resource using client are requested by a resource demanding client (Chebrolu, column 1, lines 50-64),

wherein, in order to resolve resource conflicts (Chebrolu, column 2, line 65 to column 3, line 8), a negotiation process with said at least one resource using client and said resource demanding client about future rights of allocating said requested shareable resources is performed, and (Chebrolu, column 3, lines 20-37, and figure 3)

wherein said negotiation process is based upon a process of tracking and/or storing relationship information between said shareable resources allocated by said resource using client and client operations of said resource using client using said allocated shareable resources, said relationship information specifying how much of the resources allocated by a resource using client is needed by a specific client operation (column 3, lines 20-37, specifically the usage table 12 of figure 2, that is used to determine the resource usage of individual clients and their status).

Application/Control Number: 10/016,739

Art Unit: 2141

8. As per claim 3, Chebrolu teaches the system further characterized in that said

Page 4

negotiating process is based upon a determining process of a client status of said

resource using client (column 3, lines 20-37, specifically the usage table 12 of figure 2,

that is used to determine the resource usage of individual clients and their status).

9. As per claim 7, Chebrolu teaches the system further characterized by asking said

resource demanding client whether insisting on a shareable resources demand

(Chebrolu, column 6, lines 14-29).

10. As per claim 8, Chebrolu teaches the system further characterized by acting

according to a configurable default reaction mechanism if said resource using client

refuses to participate said negotiating process, refuses to act according to results of

said negotiating process or is not capable of participating in said negotiating process

(Chebrolu, column 4, lines 11-24, wherein the default operation is enacted by the

central unit).

11. As per claim 9, Chebrolu teaches the system further characterized by preempting

said resource using client from its allocated shareable resources as a default reaction

mechanism (Chebrolu, column 4, lines 48-68).

Art Unit: 2141

- 12. As per claim 10, Chebrolu teaches the system further characterized by terminating said client operations using said allocated shareable resources as a default reaction mechanism (Chebrolu, column 4, lines 48-68).
- 13. As per claim 12, Chebrolu teaches the system further characterized in that said negotiating process, said step of determining said client status of said resource using client and said step of providing said shareable resources to said resource demanding client are coordinated by a central unit having access to said shareable resources (Chebrolu, specifically the resource allocation unit 10 of figure 2).
- 14. As per claim 13, Chebrolu teaches a host providing shareable resources for performing specific client operations, which are assignable to resource using clients being connected to said host, respectively (Chebrolu, column 1, lines 50-64), characterized by

an extracting means for extracting client status information of a resource using client,

processing means being connected to said extracting means for processing said extracted client status information,

providing means being connected to said extracting means and said processing means for providing said shareable resources to a resource demanding client and/or to a client operation assigned to said resource demanding client,

Application/Control Number: 10/016,739 Page 6

Art Unit: 2141

status).

wherein said shareable resources providing process is initiatable or refusable by said processing means (Chebrolu, column 2, line 65 to column 3, line 8) according to said extracted client status information and is also based upon tracking and/or storing relationship information between said shareable resources allocated by said resource using client and client operations of said resource using client using said allocated shareable resources, said relationship information specifying how much of the resources allocated by a resource using client is needed by a specific client operation (Chebrolu, column 3, lines 20-37, and figure 3, also specifically the usage table 12 of figure 2, that is used to determine the resource usage of individual clients and their

- 15. As per claim 14, Chebrolu teaches the system further characterized by providing said deallocated shareable resources of said resource using client to said resource demanding client (Chebrolu, column 4, lines 48-68).
- 16. As per claim 15, Chebrolu teaches the system further characterized by providing said deallocated shareable resources of said resource using client to said resource demanding client (Chebrolu, column 4, lines 48-68).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

17. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 18. Claims 4-6 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chebrolu (US Patent 6,754,714) and Chang et al. (US Patent 6,240,453).
- 19. As per claim 4, Chebrolu teaches the above, yet fails to teach asking the resource using client or a user of said resource using client about releasing at least a part of its allocated shareable resources and/or about terminating said client operations using said allocated shareable resources to determine said client status of said resource using client, said asking process being performed on the basis of said tracked and/or stored relationship information.

Chang teaches a communication channel resource management system (Chang, column 2, lines 19-31) where the resource using client is asked to terminate/release its unused allocated resources (Chang, column 9, lines 33-67 and figure 12).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have combined Chebrolu and Chang to provide the request system of Chang in the system of Chebrolu, because doing so would allow confirmation and input from the specific resource using client thereby optimizing resource availability (Chang, column 1, lines 61-67 and column 2, lines 19-29).

20. As per claim 5, Chebrolu-Chang teaches the system further characterized by refusing to provide shareable resources to said resource demanding client if said

Application/Control Number: 10/016,739

Art Unit: 2141

resource using client refuses to release its allocated shareable resources and/or if said

resource using client refuses to terminate said client operations using said allocated

shareable resources (Chang, figure 12, flow branch 1211).

21. As per claim 6, Chebrolu-Chang teaches the system further characterized by

instructing said resource using client to release its allocated shareable resources and/or

to terminate said client operations using said allocated shareable resources if said

resource using client accepts to release its allocated shareable resources and/or if said

resource using client accepts to terminate said client operations using said allocated

shareable resources (Chang, figure 12, specifically the call release resource function).

22. As per claim 11, Chebrolu-Chang teaches the system further characterized by

providing said deallocated shareable resources of said resource using client to said

resource demanding client (Chebrolu, column 4, lines 11-24).

Conclusion

23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Nicholas Taylor whose telephone number is (571) 272-

3889. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00am to 5:30pm,

with alternating Fridays off.

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 10/016,739 Page 9

Art Unit: 2141

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on (571) 272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3718.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nicholas Taylor Examiner Art Unit 2141

RUPAL DHARIA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER